rtcon community living

Validity and Source Reliability of Selected Background Section Items of the NCI Adult Consumer Survey (NCI-ACS) Across Data Sources and States

> National Core Indicators August 12, 2015, Orange County, CA

> > UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discoversm

Purpose of study

- evaluate the reliability and validity of the NCI- ACS Background Section items
- will expand upon a previously conducted pilot study regarding the Background Section conducted in one state by HSRI
- also expand upon pool of reliability and validity studies
 - Proxy/non-proxy (published)
 - Item analyses (in press)

rtc on community living

Important premises

- survey item validity cannot be established before the responses to items are found to be reliable; and
- responses to survey items are considered valid if they accurately measure the intended construct.
- two source types
 - Secondary
 - Primary

rtc on community living

Research question

What is the validity and source reliability of the selected NCI-ACS Background Section items gathered across multiple sources of data in three participating NCI states?

University of Minnesota

Design

- 20+/60 items
- Three different states MN, GA, MA
 - Time involved in NCI
 - Sources of data used
 - Methods to obtain data
 - AND willingness
- 15 randomly selected individuals
 - From most recent data cycle

rtc on community living

Sources to gather (minimally three)

	Data Source Reliability				Validity Check
Background Item	State administrative data	Case manager file or accessed data	Provider file data	Individual or proxy interview data	Trifecta interview(s) with the individual, family and staff
Level of ID					
Other disabilities					
Last complete annual physical exam					
Person takes medications to treat mood disorders					

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Which source.....

deemed most

accurate, reliable and valid

rtc on community living

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Contact Information

Amy Hewitt, 612.625.1098

hewit005@umn.edu

Research and Training Center on Community Living Institute on Community Integration (UCEDD) University of Minnesota, Twin Cities 214 Pattee Hall, 150 Pillsbury Drive SE Minneapolis, MN 55455

This research was supported by grant from the National Institute on Disabilities and Rehabilitation Research, U.S. Department of Education #H133G080029 and #H133B080005. Grantees undertaking projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their findings and conclusions. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore necessarily represent official NIDRR policy.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover⁵⁵⁴