



National Core
Indicators: Employment
Trends for Adults with
ID/DD and Suggestions
for Policy Development





Agenda

- Why are we looking at these data?
- Intro to NCI
 - Methods, Measures and Sample
- Findings
- Policy opportunities
- Discussion/Questions?


Why?

- Rates of integrated employment among people with ID/DD receiving services are low
 - Remained essentially unchanged for the past 10 years.
- Systems change efforts underway in over 40 states
 - State Employment Leadership Network
 - AIDD Partnerships and Employment Learning Community
 - ODEP employment first initiative
- NCI can offer an important perspective in employment and employment outcomes of people with ID/DD receiving services.

WHAT IS NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS (NCI)?

- Multi-state collaboration of state DD agencies
- Measures performance of public systems for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities
- Assesses performance in several areas, including: employment, community inclusion, choice, rights, and health and safety
- Launched in 1997 in 13 participating states
- Supported by participating states
- NASDDDS HSRI Collaboration

NCI State Participation 2013-14



■ State contract awarded in 2013-14 through AIDD funding

CA*- Includes 21 Regional Centers

OH*- Also includes the Mid-East Ohio Regional Council



WHAT IS NCI?

- Adult Consumer Survey
 - ✓ In-person conversation with a sample of adults receiving services to gather information about their experiences
 - ✓ Keyed to important person-centered outcomes that measure system-level indicators related to: employment, choice, relationships, case management, inclusion, health, etc.
- Adult Family, Child Family, and Family/Guardian Surveys
 Mail surveys separate sample from Adult Consumer
 Survey
- Other NCI state level data: Mortality, Staff Stability

Data Source: Adult Consumer Survey

- Standardized, face-to-face interview with a sample of individuals receiving services
 - Background Information
 - Section I (no proxies allowed)
 - Section II (proxies allowed)
- No pre-screening procedures → states don't filter anyone out of the sample.
- Conducted with adults only (18 and over) receiving at least one service in addition to case management
- Section I and Section II together take 50 minutes (on average)

Methods, Measures and Sample:

- 2012-2013 data collection cycle
- 25 states, one regional council (Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mid-East Ohio Regional Councils, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia and Wisconsin.)
- Total N: 13,157 individuals

- People under the age of 22 who were enrolled in public schools (or for whom this information could not be determined) were excluded from most of the NCI 2012-13 analyses in this presentation, except where indicated.
 - 12,720 adults remained in the data.

■ Independent Homes or Apartments

Residence Type:


9.8%
4.4%
37.1%
Community-Based Residences

Parent or Relative's home

111

Other

Number of Respondents in Integrated Employment/Paid Community Jobs: Different Datasets



Employment:

Integrated Employment:

 Paid job in a place where most other workers do not have disabilities (e.g. competitive or supported employment, enclave, work crew)

Unpaid Community Activity


 Unpaid activity in a place where most other workers do not have disabilities(e.g. volunteer activities, skills training, community experiences)

Paid Facility-Based Work

- Paid job in a place where most people do have disabilities (e.g. workshop, activity center)
- Unpaid Facility Based Activity
 - Unpaid activity in a place where most people do have disabilities (e.g. day habilitation, prevocational, seniors programs)




NCI 2012-13: What Do NCI Respondents Do During the Day?




What ELSE?

- 46.1% of those in integrated employment also took part in another type of day activity/employment
 - 29.1% also in unpaid community activity
 - 16.6% also had a paid facility-based job
 - 22.3% were also in an unpaid facility-based activity

NCI 2012-13: Type of Employment by Residence




Paid Work Vs. Non-Work




⁻⁻ Denominators do not include missing or don't know responses

^{**}Includes unpaid facility-based and community-based activities


NCI 2012-13: Integrated Employment?




NCI:2012-13: In Integrated Employment and Likes Job




NCI 2012-13: Working in Integrated Employment but Wants to Work Somewhere Else




NCI 2012-13: Participation in Integrated Employment By State




NCI 2012-13: Average Hours Worked in 2 Wk. Period in Integrated Job by State




NCI 2012-13: Average Wages Earned in 2 Wk. Period in Integrated Job by State



NCI 2012-13: In Integrated Employment by Age Group




NCI 2012-13: Younger Adults




NCI 2012-13: Selected Outcomes

Relationships




NCI 2012-13: Selected Outcomes

Reported Health Status



NCI 2012-13: Selected Outcomes




Paid Community Jobs

- A integrated job can be of one of three types:
 - an individual job without supports (competitive),
 - an individual job with supports (individualsupported) and
 - group-supported.
- All three are types of "integrated" employment.
 - Individually-supported employment and competitive employment make up "individual" jobs.

NCI 2012-13: Type of Employment Support

	N	% of total in integrated jobs
Integrated Job	1779	100%
 Individual Job (Individually- supported and Competitive) 	971	55%
 Individually- supported 	520	29%
 Competitive 	451	25%
 Group Supported 	433	24%
Type of employment support not specified	375	21%

NCI 2012-13: Percent of Those in Integrated Employment in Group-Supported Employment-By State



NCI 2012-13: Hours and Wages in a Two Week Period

	Hours	Gross Wages	Hourly Wage
Integrated Job	25.4	\$182.76	\$7.83
 Individual Job (Individually- supported and Competitive) 	24.5	\$192.67	\$8.38
 Individually- supported 	23.9	\$186.27	\$8.49
 Competitive 	25.3	\$200.26	\$8.27
 Group Supported 	27.6	\$172.93	\$6.76
Paid Facility-based Job	32.2	\$56.87	\$2.39

Federal Minimum Wage: \$7.25/hr

***Information on type of community job support is not available for all individuals in integrated jobs




NCI 2012-13: Benefits

	Receives paid vacation and/or sick time at his/her job
Paid Community Job	24.8%
 Individual Job (Individually- supported and Competitive) 	• 26.5%
 Individually-supported 	• 24.9%
 Competitive 	• 28.3%
Group Supported	• 26.0%


^{***}Information on type of community job support is not available for all individuals in integrated jobs

NCI 2012-13: Volunteering

"Do you do any volunteer work?"



Why are we stuck?



Somebody has to do something and its just incredibly pathetic that it has to be us.

Policy opportunities...

- Employment first policy and practice
- Prioritize \$ for employment at transition
- Seamless movement between systems
- Redefining non-work and prevoc services
- Adequate funding for IE
- Investment in capacity building
- Robust & transparent employment data
- State hiring practices



Emerging Influences

- Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS)
- Advocacy efforts
- Legislative and executive initiatives
- Federal initiatives: AIDD, DOL, SSA, DOE



Policy opportunities...

- Employment first policy
- Prioritize \$ for employment at transition
- Seamless movement between systems
- Flexible use of funds
- Adequate funding for integrated employment
- Robust & transparent employment data
- State hiring practices



New York Road to Reform

- No new workshop admissions (7/1/2013)
- Increase competitive employment by 700
- Plan: transition to employment (10/2013)
- Plan for workshop closure (10/2013)
- Redesigned "front door"
- Restructure case management
- Redesign funding structure
- Employment data



Massachusetts Blueprint for Success

- Close front door to workshops 1/2014
- Close sheltered workshops by 7/2015
- Transition workshop participants to IE or CBDS
- Transition individuals from CBDS to integrated work
- Phase out group employment settings that pay less than minimum wage

11/2013



Policy Questions



Contacts

- Institute for Community Inclusion at UMASS Boston:
 - John Butterworth: <u>John.Butterworth@umb.edu</u>
- HSRI
 - Josh Engler: jengler@hsri.org
 - Dorothy Hiersteiner: <u>dhiersteiner@hsri.org</u>
- NASDDDS
 - Mary Lee Fay: <u>MLFay@nasddds.org</u>





