Agenda: Changes and Innovations in NCI and the DD Field

Four-year Revisions Cycle

?

Person Centered Practices Questions

Surveyor Training Updates

 \checkmark

Remote Surveying Update

One State's Experiences: HI

•••

Topical Issues in the DD Field

Alternative Payment Methods Social Determinants WIOA



What does a "four-year revisions cycle for the NCI Surveys" mean for me and my state?

- We will only make substantive changes every fourth year.
 - Next year of substantive changes will be the **2021-22** data cycle.
 - A substantive change is defined as:
 - The addition of questions in the main survey tool (states may still add their state specific questions using NCI's usual procedure);
 - The removal or restructuring of sections of the survey;
 - Wording changes that alter the meaning of the survey questions.
- Small changes may be made in the interim.
 - Small changes are defined as: grammatical, punctuation, or slight vocabulary changes; the correction of typos; the addition of instructions or clarifying guidance for surveyors within the survey tool.

Why is NCI making this change? States expressed a desire to have a stable tool.

Creates time to gather meaningful feedback from states, surveyors and people taking the survey about the functionality of questions.

Allows for testing of proposed new questions before they're added to the survey.

NCI Team is working to submit selected measures to the <u>National Quality Forum</u> as LTSS quality measures. This longer revisions cycle allows for robust psychometric testing and review of select measures for submission.

4 Year Revisions Cycle

2018-2019 Data collection year with new Person Centered questions added.

• Review performance of BI elements

2019-2020

- (Summer 2019) Issues/concepts and data on performance of measures for review at NCI annual meeting (summer 2019) and in a communication with NCI states.
- Analyze performance of Person Centered questions and new questions.
- Focus groups with stakeholders to ensure that the survey meets needs
 - states, service recipients, families, researchers, surveyors for data collection, implementation and reporting.

2020-2021

- (Summer 2020) Draft survey changes reviewed at NCI annual meeting and in a communication with NCI states.
- If needed, cognitive testing (if new questions or major survey restructure)
- Final tool

2021-2022

- (Summer 2021) Roll out of new survey to all states for survey administration.
- Present draft report templates at annual meeting and in a communication with states.



ODESA changes

- Along with survey tool changes, we will be looking at updating ODESA to match states' needs
- We'll be looking for your feedback in various ways

PERSON CENTERED PRACTICES

This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under CC BY-SA

Person-Centered Practices (PCP) Questions in IPS 2018-19

- ACL grant to enhance the collection of data on PCP
- NCI already collected process questions:
 - At your service planning meeting, did your service coordinator ask what you want?
- Wanted to add questions to assess whether person was living person-centered life
 - Think about the number of times you went out shopping in the past month. Would you like to go....(more, less...)
- Added questions to look at person's goals and whether they're in the service plan
 - ADLs
 - Community inclusion
 - Relationships
- How can states use these data?





Training Expectations, Updates and Recommendations

Notes from the Field

Communication!!!

- Work with people to understand how they communicate
- Find the right person to facilitate communication if needed

When family or others are present

- Introduce the project and importance of hearing from the person
- Before meeting ask about some key elements in the survey (service plan, person's daily activities)



Training Expectations and Recommendations

2019-20



Each state to have a lead trainer

Surveyors will satisfactorily complete appropriate training

Surveyors are in compliance with NCI protocol

Lead Trainer Role







Crucial to maintaini ng fidelity of data

Ensure surveyors complete appropria Ongoing monitorin g of surveyors



Be in regular conduct with the NCI team



Types of Training

- Refresher training
 - Only for returning surveyors
 - NCI National team provides training via vide
- Full training
 - Intended for:
 - New surveyors
 - Returning surveyors every four years after

Training Materials

9 5. a. .

.

х

Lead Trainer Orientation	Refresher training	Full training	For <i>all</i> surveyors
 Video based 	 Refresher training video 	 Suggested agenda Full training PowerPoint Lead 	 Pre- and Post- training assessment s
		trainer guide	 Surveyor Handbook

Full Training PowerPoint



- Updated to include:
 - Detailed information in notes for lead trainer to use as guide/script
 - $_{\circ}$ Questions to engage surveyors
 - Section on communication strategy
 - Slides for state-specific information
- Surveyors should receive a copy of slides *without* training notes

Pre-Training Assessment



Assessments are anonymous

Surveyors should complete twothree weeks before training

Lead trainer will request results from HSRI



Results can be used by lead trainers to gauge: Familiarity with surveying and population

Areas that need particular focus/attention during training

Post-Training Assessment



Assessments are not anonymous

Ī

Surveyors should complete within five days of training



Lead trainer will request results from HSRI



Results can be used by lead trainers to determine:

Whether surveyors understand important aspects of NCI process Identify areas

Ongoing Monitoring

- Lead trainers are responsible for ensuring ongoing quality of data collection
- Shadow surveys (or inter-rater reliability) are an important tool for assurance
- Shadows should consist of:
 - The lead trainer scoring along with the surveyor and noting instances when the surveyor does or does not follow NCI protocol
 - The lead trainer should provide a debrief including a review of how questions were scored and the surveyor's compliance with NCI protocol
 - Number of shadows completed each year will vary state to state

Ongoing Monitoring– New Surveyors

- Before surveying in the field
 - $_{\odot}\,$ Lead trainers should observe and/or take part in practice surveys with new surveyor
 - New surveyors should observe a veteran surveyor in the field
- Within the first 10 surveys, new surveyors should be shadowed to ensure compliance with NCI protocol



- For surveyors who have been in the field for several years:
 - Sometimes become too familiar/comfortable with the survey (may miss changes to the survey)
 - $_{\circ}\,$ Can fall into bad habits
 - May have developed useful skills that can be shared with team
- Lead trainers should shadow at least a select number of returners each year

Process for Trainings

- Pre-assessments
 - Primarily for full trainings
 - Send 2-3 weeks before training
 - Review results and note parts of training that may need more emphasis
- Training
 - Should take place 2-3 weeks before surveying begins so additional training can be completed as needed
- Post-training assessment
 - Surveyors should complete within 5 days after training
 - Follow-up with surveyors who score under 85%
 - Follow-up with team if there are areas that were consistently answered incorrectly

• Prior to surveying in field

- Conduct practice surveys
- Should happened 1-2 weeks before surveying to allow time for additional training as needed
- Shadow surveys
 - Conduct with new surveyors within first 10 surveys in the field
 - Conduct with selected or all returning surveyors
 - Provide feedback to individual surveyors or team as needed



QUESTIONS?



Remote Surveying

- Remote surveying
 - Conducting "face-to-face" surveys using Zoom
- Piloting in AK in 2019-20
 - Reduce weather and distance related travel barriers
 - Specific protocols developed by NCI will be used
 - Hoping to roll out to NCI states in 2020-21
 - There will be requirements re: situations in which remote surveying can be used



Hawaii

Increasing participation in the IPS when you're hearing a lot of "NO, thank you"!

This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under CC BY

NCI AND TOPICAL ISSUES IN THE DD FIELD



Alternative Payment Models

Value Based Payment

- Payment models that range from rewarding for performance in fee-for-service (FFS) to capitation, including alternative payment models and comprehensive population-based payments.
- Broad set of performance-based payment strategies that link financial incentives to providers' performance on a set of defined measures of quality and/or cost or resource use.*
- APM encompasses a range of approaches intended to better align incentives for providers to deliver high quality care and reward value in the health care system



Source: Adapted from Alternative Payment Model Framework and Progress Tracking (APM FPT) Work Group. Alternative Payment Model Framework: Final White Paper. July 11, 2017; Figure 4. Accessed July 13, 2017.

NASDDDS

National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services



Degree of care, provider integration, and accountability

IAP webinar: 10/2017 accessed from CMS.gov on 10/29/2018

NASDDDS National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services

1

Considering VBP in I/DD Systems: Key Steps

Goal and Issue Identification

What problem(s) or desired outcome(s) have you identified?

- Effective models for individuals with significant challenging behaviors/histories and/or individuals with co-occurring psychiatric support needs
- Improved/ more efficient administration of functions such as: resource management, gaps analysis/service development, and quality management; risk/crisis management
- Improved or enhanced integration of acute, behavioral and LTSS
- Infusion of LifeCourse throughout service delivery
- Reducing cross system (Medicaid and non-Medicaid) misalignment

Considering VBP in I/DD Systems: Key Steps

Data Analysis

What does your data tell you about the "as is" state?

What gaps in data do you need to obtain for further program analysis and improvement? What data do you hope to impact?

Program Design (including approach to Quality)

Without thinking about Medicaid specifically, what program features would you want to include?

Payment methodologies, providers/partners, eligibility criteria (functional and clinical), etc.

What quality metrics do you hope to impact?

- Partner/contractor performance metrics
- Individual outcome objectives
- NCI

Opportunities for Integration (internal and external to Medicaid)

Emerging Interest Areas

Employment – Pay for Performance

Workforce Issues – Career Ladder

Individual Quality Outcomes – Accountable Provider Models

Strategies to Weave VBP in HCBS Regulatory Transformation Efforts



- What are social determinants?
- What can NCI tell us about social determinants?
- Erickson, S., Houseworth, J., Esler, A. (draft) Social determinants associated with use of psychotropic medication in adults who have Intellectual and Developmental Disability and challenging behaviors





- The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)
- In 17-18 data, the Paid Community Job variable included
 - Individual
 - Group
 - Business that primarily hires people with disabilities
- New definition created in consultation with team of State DD directors and other experts
 - May not perfectly crosswalk with the WIOA definition (as of right now)
| | NE | | 404 |
|----------------------|-------------|-----|--------|
| community job
8) | СТ | 43% | 343 |
| | MN | 39% | 379 |
| | OK | 37% | 400 |
| | VT | 36% | 331 |
| | ME | 33% | 362 |
| | RI | 33% | 304 |
| | WY | 32% | 325 |
| | MA | 27% | 395 |
| | UT | 25% | 384 |
| | OH | 24% | 694 |
| | CO | 24% | 339 |
| | SC | 23% | 392 |
| | KS | 20% | 370 |
| | PA | 19% | 587 |
| | IN | 19% | 735 |
| | DE | 18% | 390 |
| | NC | 18% | 615 |
| | TN | 18% | 427 |
| | NCI Average | 18% | 23,232 |
| | MI | 16% | 594 |
| | AZ | 16% | 476 |
| | KY | 15% | 394 |
| | LA | 15% | 401 |
| $\mathcal{S} \infty$ | CA | 15% | 8,228 |
| id co
-18) | NV | 14% | 384 |
| י` ס | NY | 13% | 490 |
| ·⊒ ► | IL | 12% | 357 |
| Paid
(17- | VA | | |
| | AR | | |
| | FL | 10% | 941 |
| | DC | 9% | 398 |
| | MO | 9% | 396 |
| | GA | 6% | 465 |
| | AL | 6% | 374 |
| | | | |

Discussion of Possible Revisions



IPS Indicators Background Information Self-Direction Module Using technology

National Core Indicators (NCI)

In Person Survey

Please indicate to what extent your state currently uses, or plans to use, this indicator to determine quality in your system. It is important that you talk with others in your organization who may use NCI data before making the determination. We are not asking for your opinion or personal views. To help select your answer, discuss with your program managers how they currently use the specific indicator, if it helps inform stakeholders, if it is used to track progress in a program, etc. Rating Scale - 1, never use to 5 always use. After rating frequency of use, please identify the four indicators most important to your agency within this domain area.

	Rating Scale: 1= Never Use to 5 Always							
People make choices about their lives and are actively engaged in planning their services and supports	1	2	3	4	5	Please consider all of the indicators on this worksheeet. Place a check mark below to identify the four indicators your state agency considers the most important measures in this domain area.		
Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their job								
Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide how to spend free time								
Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide their daily schedule								
Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their housemates if not living in the family home								
Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing where they live if not living in the family home								
Proportion of people who reported they chose or help decide what to buy with their spending money								
Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator								
Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their staff								
Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or activity and chose or had some input in choosing their day program or activity								
Choice and Decision Commu	nity Ir	nclusio	n	Relatio	onships	Satisfaction Self	Dete	

National Core Indicators (NCI)

Background Indicators

Personal										
	Male	Female	Other	Missing	Total					
Gender	58.7%	41.3%	0.0%		8280					
	American		Black or							
	Indian or Alaska	Asian	African American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanid/La tino	Other race not listed	Two or more races	h dia stara	Dont Know
What is this person's race and ethnicity? Check ONE or MORE races to indicate what this person considers	0.6%		10.7%	0.2%	48.6%	29.7%	1.5%		Missing 0.0%	0.1%
	No, person does not have a	Yes, limited	Yes, Full	Yes, unable to distinguish between						
	guardian/co nservator	guardiansh ip	guardiansh ip	limited or full guardianship	Missing	Don't know	Total			
Does this person have a legally appointed guardian/conservator (a person who is legally granted the authority to make decisions on behalf of the	79.2%	20.5%			0.1%	0.2%	8280			
	Family	Friend	guardian/p ublic administrat	Financial institution (e.g. bank)	Non-profit guardiansh ip agency	For-profit guardiansh ip agency	Other	Missing	NA	Don't know
If this person has a legal/court-appointed guardian, what is the guardian's relationship to the individual?	17.8%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%		79.2%	0.8%

1

NCI IPS Background Information

- Where does the data live? (electronic records, electronic health record, paper file)
- How do you pull data? (from database, by hand from record review, during the interview, etc...)
- Reason for IDKs and missing data

How States Use Background Information

Context, Analysis and Program Specific Information

- Compare people who work with people who don't work
- Compare outcomes for people based on their living situation
- Compare outcomes for people based on guardianship status

Background Information

• Which data from the IPS Background Information section is most useful to your state?



Self-Direction Module

- State Work Plan Discussions reveal some confusion regarding self-direction
- NCI is looking for data for formal selfdirection programs
- How should we define/make more clear?

State Work-Plan

Does your state offer the opportunity to self- direct DD supports?	_Yes _No
Describe self-direction option(s). mark all	
authorities that exist in your state.	Budget Authority: In this model, the
	consumer or their representatives are
	responsible for managing expenditures within
	the limits of a specified budget allocation. This
	may mean choosing how to allocate funds for
	hiring support workers and/or purchasing other
	goods and services.
	Employer Authority: In this model, participants or their representatives have the responsibility of hiring, firing and supervising their support workers.

NCI Handbook Guidance

- BI-58: Is this person currently using a self-directed supports option?
- Is this person currently using a selfdirected/participant-directed supports option? Does he/she have a financial management service (also called a fiscal agent, fiscal intermediary, intermediary service organization, etc.)? These options offer individuals (and their representatives) the opportunity to manage some or all of their services. For example, they may hire and fire their own support workers and/or have control over their budget or services."

MODEL FOR IMPROVEMENT



This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under CC BY-SA

Quality Improvement:

Brief overview of Model for Improvement including PDSA cycle: Context for quality improvement discussions

Fun and games

Lunch

Key Elements of Improvement

- *Will* to do what it takes to change to a new system
- Ideas on which to base the design of the new system
- *Execution* of the ideas



Many ways to execute improvement work...



Six Sigma (DMAIC)

Lean

TJC- 10 Step

TJC - PDMAI

Turning Point

PDSA

FOCUS PDCA

Model For Improvement (MFI)



A multi-purpose tool for Improvement What are we trying to to accomplish? How will we know that a change is an improvement? Think About, What change can we make that will result in improvement? Execute, and **Accelerate** Act Plan aprovement Study Do



Time for the pegboards!



Improvement happens through more than one PDSA cycle

Model for Improvement



A Way to Execute and Accelerate 12

Improvement

Model for Improvement



Langley, et al, The Improvement Guide, 2009



Repeated Use of the Cycle



ī

HOW ARE STATES USING NCI FOR QUALITY? Living Well-Model Approaches for Enhancing the Quality, Effectiveness and Monitoring of Home and Community Based Services for Individuals with Developmental Disabilities





NCI AND CULTURAL AND LINGUISTIC COMPETENCY





NCI Staff Stability

- What's new?
- How is it being used?
 - Ohio
- Initiatives at federal and state levels

See you at Dinner

• Dinner in this room at 7:00 pm

